

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/540,084 | COLLINS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | ILIA OUSPENSKI | 1644 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) ILIA OUSPENSKI.

(3) ____.

(2) PATRICK R.H. WALLER.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant provided authorization for Examiner's Amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/ILIA OUSPENSKI, Ph.D./
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)